IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation) Misc. No. 99-0197 (TFH) MDL No. 1285
This Filing Relates To:)
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, et al.) Docket No. 99-3046))
V.	FILED.
BASF AG, et al.	JUL 2 5 2001
(Civil Action No. C-1-99-787 S.D. Ohio)) HANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS OF PLAINTIFFS THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, THE PROCTER & GAMBLE DISTRIBUTING COMPANY, THE PROCTER & GAMBLE MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND SUNDOR BRANDS, INC. AGAINST BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT AND BASF CORPORATION

Upon consideration of the Stipulation for Dismissal With Prejudice of Certain Claims of The Procter & Gamble Company, The Procter & Gamble Distributing Company, The Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company and Sundor Brands, Inc. against BASF Aktiengesellschaft and BASF Corporation, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) the claims of The Procter & Gamble Company, The Procter & Gamble Distributing Company, The Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company and Sundor Brands, Inc. (collectively, "Procter & Gamble") against BASF Aktiengesellschaft and BASF Corporation. (collectively, "BASF") asserted in the action styled The Procter & Gamble Company, et al. v. BASF

Aktiengesellschaft, et al. (S.D. Ohio Civil Action No. C-1-99-787), relating to the purchase of vitamin products for delivery in the United States are dismissed with prejudice;

(2) the foregoing dismissal does not include, and shall have no effect upon: (a) all claims of Procter & Gamble against persons or entities other than BASF; (b) all claims which are, or may be, asserted by The Iams Company and its subsidiaries and divisions against any person or entity; and (c) all claims of Procter & Gamble relating to purchases for delivery outside the United States.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 25, 201

Thomas F. Hogan

United States District/Judg